

## UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Yrademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
835,625	4/9/97	MOLL	M10043/20006
		· .	EXAMINER
			J. TWEEL
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
•			2736 10
			DATE MAILED:
· .	INTEF	RVIEW SUMMARY	
participants (applicant, applicant)	's representative; PTO person	nel):	
SCOTT SLO	MOWITZ	(3) JOHN	TWEEL
FOWARD	MOLL	(4)	
ate of Interview 1/25/	99	<u></u>	
pe: Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to □ applica	nt applicant's representative	).
thibit shown or demonstration con			·
Inibit snown or demonstration con	ducted: Tes as ino il ye	ss, blief description	
		·	-X-
preement 🗌 was reached. 🗷 w	as not reached.	••	
	-55		and the second of the second o
aim(s) discussed:			
aim(s) discussed.			
aim(s) discussed:	JUNKER		
entification of prior art discussed:	JUNKER	eement was reached, or any othe	r comments: FLA BORATION
entification of prior art discussed:	JUNKER		r comments: FLABORATION ET AND CLAMED
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of	JUNKER  what was agreed to if an agreed to if a greed to if an agreed to if a greed to if a gree	EEN PRIOR A	
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION: AC	JUNKER  what was agreed to if an agree  ICES RETWO	ION OF SUB	BUECT MATTER T
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION AL  NSERT (N CI	JUNKER  what was agreed to if an agree  ICES RETWO  SO DISCUSS  LAM TO F	ION OF SUB- URTHER DET	BUT AND CLAMED  BUTCH MATTER TO  ALL INPUT PROPER
entification of prior art discussed:  escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION. AC  NSERT (N C)  A ppl. (A.  A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clached.)	what was agreed to if an agreed to if agreed to	FORTHER DET.  Tio ST  s, if available, which the examiner h would render the claims allowal	SJECT MATTER 7  ALL INPUT PROPER  TAGGED WOULD RETECTION  agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be
entification of prior art discussed:  escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION AC  NSERT (N C)  A pplican  A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no co	what was agreed to if an agreed to if agreed to	FORTHER DET.  Tio ST  s, if available, which the examiner h would render the claims allowal	SJECT MATTER 7  ALL INPUT PROPER  TAULT DETECTION  agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION A(  NSERT (N C)  OF APPLICAN  Afuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clacked.)  Wit is not necessary for applications the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL.	what was agreed to if an agreed to indicate to the under the substance of the pulcant is given one more agreed to indicate to the under the substance of the pulcant is given one more agreed to indicate to the under the substance of the pulcant is given one more agreed to indicate to the under the substance of the pulcant is given one more agreed to indicate to the under the substance of the under the substance of the under t	SION OF SUE SUCTHER DET.  TION ST  s, if available, which the examiner in would render the claims allowal and of the substance of the interview contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP See	AL INPUT PROPERTION  agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be w.  RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION A)  A PPLICAN  A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clached.)  Wit is not necessary for applica not walved AND MUST INCLUSTION has are ready been filed, API  UBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW  Since the Examiner's intervier rejections and requirements the paragraph and requirements to the prior of	what was agreed to if an agreed to indicate to the indicate to the indicate to it indicate i	s, if available, which the examiner h would render the claims allowal contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN THE INTERVIEW Deany attachments) reflects a company attachments) reflects a company attachments) reflects a company attachments.	AND CLAMED  BJECT MATTER 7  ALL INPUT PROPER  TAULT DETECTION  agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be w.  RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 2013.04). If a response to the last Office action 713.04). If a response to the last Office action 713.04).
entification of prior art discussed: escription of the general nature of  ON DIFFEREN  (NYENTION A)  A PPLICAN  A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clached.)  Wit is not necessary for applica not walved AND MUST INCLISTION has are ready been filed, API  UBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW  Since the Examiner's intervier rejections and requirements to is considered to fulfill the respections.	what was agreed to if an agreed to indicate to the indicate to indicate to the indicate to indicate i	STON OF SUBSTITUTE OF THE OF T	AND CLAMED  BJECT MATTER 7  ALL INPUT PROPER  TAGENER OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION  RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION  ATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE  Collete response to each of the objections, ms are now allowable, this completed form